COMMENTARIES

The Russia Ukraine War's Foreign Fighters and the Coming Security Storm

Author: Prof. Col. Shashank Ranjan (Retd.) • **Published:** October 12, 2026 • **Source:** Centre for New Age Warfare Studies



EXECUTIVE BRIEF & KEY FINDINGS

The Russia-Ukraine war may eventually end on the battlefield, but its consequences could travel far beyond Europe. Thousands of foreign fighters, drawn into the conflict by ideology, financial incentives, deception or coercion, will eventually leave the front lines carrying combat experience, transnational networks and, in some cases, deep-seated grievances. From the Balkans and the Caucasus to Africa and beyond, their dispersal could create new security challenges long after the guns fall silent. The question is no longer just how the war will end, but where its fighters will go next.

When analysts assess the Russia-Ukraine war, they typically reach for the familiar metrics: troop concentrations, drone production, sanctions regimes, GDP projections, munition stocks etc. What this conventional ledger consistently omits is the war's most transnational dimension—the tens of thousands of foreign fighters who have been drawn, lured, or coerced into service on both sides, and whose eventual dispersal may prove more destabilising than any single battlefield outcome.

Foreign fighters began joining Ukraine's military as early as 2014. Their numbers remained modest during those early years, but the war that commenced in February 2022 triggered a dramatic surge, transforming what had been a

marginal volunteer phenomenon into a multinational force of significant scale. According to a recent report of the German news channel n-tv, nearly 16,000 foreign personnel from 72 countries and regions have gone to Ukraine to participate in the war. As per Netherlands based International Centre for Counterterrorism, while early volunteers often had ideological or familial ties to Ukraine, the current force is increasingly motivated by financial incentives. By mid-2026, Ukraine aimed to fill up to 50% of assault infantry roles with foreign recruits, with monthly pay reaching up to $7,000 for assault units.

Ukraine had established its first International Legion units shortly after February 2022, opening the way for foreign nationals into Ukrainian forces.The initial International Legion was a unified formation, but it has since been restructured. The term now covers a network of units integrated into larger tactical brigades, with some planning to form their own international battalions. The scale of foreign involvement on the Russian side is substantial but opaque. A BBC investigation in July 2026, verified the deaths of at least 3,589 individuals from over 40 countries fighting for Russia, including 1,285 non-North Koreans and 2,304 North Korean soldiers.

There are three pathways to service in Russia; first - prison recruitment, second - lured migrants from countries like Uganda and Nepal for money and jobs, and third - networks of far-right extremists. The Russian Imperial Movement, a US designated terrorist group, operates openly in Russia. It runs "Partizan" training camps and frames the war as a step toward a "greater Russia," attracting white nationalist fighters from various countries. The transnational far-right cooperation has become a key feature of that war and has facilitated the bridging of ideological divides. Groups like Italy's Millennium blend anti-liberal philosophies, creating a hybrid that appeals to both disaffected leftists and right-wing extremists who share a common opposition to the EU, NATO, and the West. Also, hundreds of Serbian militants, including veterans of the 1990s wars, have joined Russian forces. They are motivated by the belief that Russia will support their claims to Kosovo and other territories. Their return after the Russia Ukraine war is feared to potentially reignite another war in the Balkans.

The war has created a cadre of battle-hardened individuals whose future actions are a major concern. For Ukraine's foreign fighters, a major future threat is radicalisation due to perceived betrayal, if they feel the conflict ends in an unsatisfactory outcome. Such fighters could potentially target former allies whom they view as insufficiently supportive to Ukraine. The return of fighters from both sides to their home countries with combat training, networks, and potential grievances, raises grave security and crime related concerns.

The war in Ukraine has split the Chechen fighters. On Ukraine's side, two Chechen groups have teamed up: Islamists who want a Caucasus Emirate, and nationalists who want an independent Ichkeria. They disagree on many things, but they share one goal—fighting Russia. On Russia's side, the Kadyrovtsy—fighters loyal to Ramzan Kadyrov—are fighting with Russian troops. This Chechen split could spark a new insurgency in the North Caucasus. And the fallout could spread across the entire Caucasus region.

Challenges concerning legal clarity or lack of it may also emerge. Recruiting foreign fighters in three ways is normalised by Russia: by paying them, by forcing them, or by radicalising them. Resultantly, it blurs the line between three very different categories—a volunteer, a paid mercenary, and a trafficking victim. Post war scenario, when these trained fighters go back to home countries, the biggest issue shall be their ambiguous legal status.

Countries shall find it challenging to prosecute or reintegrate them. When the labels break down, the policies meant to handle them break down too.

The Russian model—relaxing visa requirements, offering citizenship for military service, targeting vulnerable migrants through raids and document confiscation, and using deception to fill casualty-heavy front-line roles—is a replicable template. It demonstrates that a state can mass-produce expendable foreign fighters at rapid rate and scale. The

precedent this sets is grim: future conflicts may see similar predatory recruitment systems.

The war has already turned parts of Africa into a second front, with Ukraine conducting special operations against Russian private military contractors in Mali, Sudan, and even Syria. When the war ends, Russia may deliberately continues to keep the demobilised fighters abroad, to avoid domestic instability and preserve a pool of combat manpower ready for future operations. This is likely to add to volatility of situation in African host nations, which neither Western policy frameworks nor existing military doctrine have fully reckoned with.

Finally, the Iran conflict is making things worse. The latest Global Terror Index Report warns that three aspects will shape the future of terrorism - new and spreading conflicts, the breakdown of international rules, worsening economic conditions. And there is another worry: people are being radicalised much faster than before. Into this already dangerous mix, the risk laden scenario is about transnational mobilisation of fighters who are battle-hardened, grievance struck and trained in combat. The risk is not hypothetical - it is an aggravator that makes the situation from bad to worse.